

Cross-Layer Mixed-Bias Scheduling for Wireless Mesh Networks

Jason Ernst and Dr. Mieso Denko

Pervasive Computing & Wireless Networking Research
Group (PerWin), University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada

Presented at ICC 2010 – AH02: Cross-Layer Design


Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Introduction

- Consider Wireless Mesh Network (WMN)
 - Mesh Routers (MR)
 - Mesh Clients (MC)
 - Multiple Gateways (GW)
- Most traffic to and from Internet via GW

Introduction




[Ernst, Denko, 2010]

Why Wireless Mesh Networks?

- Many Applications
 - Commercial & rural internet access
 - Cheap and easy to deploy compared with wired
 - Military communication, Search & Rescue
 - Few infrastructure required
 - Heterogeneous networks with WMN backbone
 - Autonomous: self-configuration, self-optimization, self-healing network

Why Scheduling?



Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Background & Related Work

- Existing scheduling approaches:
 - Completely Fair (TDMA, FDMA etc)

$$R = \frac{1}{n}$$

- Where n is number of nodes

- Wasted resources when a node has nothing to transmit

Background & Related Work

- Existing scheduling approaches:
 - Proportional Fairness
 - le: proportional bias against undesirable nodes
 - (often based on [distance](#))

$$R = \frac{1}{c^\beta}$$

- Good performance, but can be improved if we introduce multiple levels of bias (see [mixed-bias](#))

Background & Related Work

- Existing scheduling approaches:
 - Max-min
 - Maximize the minimum flow
 - Mixed-Bias (Singh et al)
 - Allows multiple biases to be applied (strong + weak)

$$R = \frac{\alpha}{d^{\beta_1}} + \frac{1-\alpha}{d^{\beta_2}}$$

Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Cross-Layer Mixed Bias Scheduling

- Based on work by S. Singh et al “Beyond Proportional Fairness...”
- Main contributions:
 1. Extended to apply to characteristics other than just distance
 2. **Combined** mixed-bias technique

Cross-Layer Mixed Bias Scheduling

- Layers used in the approach:
 - MAC Layer
 - Queue of packets ready to be transmitted into the medium
 - Network Layer
 - TTL / Number of Hops examined and reported to MAC
 - Physical Layer
 - SINR measure reported to MAC

Cross-Layer Mixed Bias Scheduling

- Our mixed bias technique:

$$R = \frac{\alpha}{c^{\beta_1}} + \frac{1-\alpha}{c^{\beta_2}}$$

- Additional characteristics
 - Distance between GW and MR
 - Queue Size
 - Link Quality
- Combined Technique
 - Biases against multiple characteristics at once

Combined Mixed Bias Scheduling

- Bias against multiple characteristics at once:

$$R = \gamma_1 R_1 + \gamma_2 R_2 + \gamma_3 R_3$$

Where:

$$R_1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{c^{\beta_{11}}} + \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{c^{\beta_{12}}}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{c^{\beta_{21}}} + \frac{1 - \alpha_2}{c^{\beta_{22}}}$$

...

$$R_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{c^{\beta_{n1}}} + \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{c^{\beta_{n2}}}$$

Combined Mixed Bias Scheduling

- 802.11 MAC Solution:
 - At each MR modify MAC queue:
 - Change ordering depending on bias
 - Allow a given packet to be bumped a fixed number of times -> avoid starvation
- TDMA Solution:
 - Assign time based on the bias, the stronger the bias, the less time a given node is given

Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Simulation Environment

- NS3 simulation environment
 - OLSR Routing
 - Modified 802.11 MAC layer

- Metrics:
 - PDR, end-to-end delay

Simulation Environment

- Parameters:

Parameter	Value
Mesh Routers	10 – 30
Mesh Clients	250
Gateways	1 – 5
α	0.5
β_1	2
β_2	5
Environment Dimensions	1000 x 1000m
Node Range	150m

Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Results & Discussion

- Evaluation against two different schemes
 - 802.11 Modified MAC
 - TDMA Based on previous work

Results & Discussion

- Combined M-B generally lower delay compared with 802.11
- Performance improvement with larger sized networks
- Improvement against M-B distance approach




Figure 1 Average End-To-End Delay, Two Flows

Results & Discussion

- As network becomes more congested (more flows) the combined approach performs well
- M-B distance alone does not work as well in congested networks, since farther nodes have low priority
- M-B combined takes into account growing queues at far nodes




Figure 2 Average End-To-End Delay, Five Flows

Results & Discussion

- Shows that M-B approach is scalable with increasing number of gateways
- Improvement on original multiple gateway TDMA approach




Figure 3 Average Packet Delivery Ratio, 250 Clients, 50 MR

Results & Discussion

- Delay is lower with M-B except in case with a single GW, due to GW bottleneck
- (in the TDMA approach, the GW is the coordinator of the schedule)




Figure 4 Average End-To-End Delay, 250 Clients, 50 MR

Outline

- Introduction
- Background & related work
- Proposed cross-layer technique
- Simulation environment
- Results & discussion
- Conclusions & future work

Conclusions & Future Work

- Extension of existing mixed bias approach by applying cross-layering
- Proposed new combined mixed bias approach
- Initial results are promising for further investigation
- Investigate adapting parameters to network conditions

Thanks for Listening!

Questions?

Jason Ernst

jernst@uoguelph.ca




Cross Layering



OSI 7 Layer Stack

Cross Layering




OSI 7 Layer Stack

Direct Communication:

- Layers which do not normally interact exchange information
- Difficult to maintain
- Poor extensibility

Cross Layering



OSI 7 Layer Stack

Status:

- Link quality
 - Queue sizes
 - Application requirements
 - Distance between nodes
-
- Easily enable cross-layer interactions by querying the status stack