Instructor:  Lynda M. Ashbourne, PhD, RMFT  Phone:  Ext. 54237
Office:  CFT Rm. 248  Office Hours:  by appointment
e-mail*:  lashbour@uoguelph.ca  Response by next working day.  Do not expect a response on weekends.
Classes:  Mondays, 2:30-5:20, CFTC Rm. 245

Course Description:
The focus of this course is on CFT research issues related to evidence-based practices, therapeutic outcome, and therapeutic process [EG-2]. The course includes an introduction to CFT efficacy research and the importance of evidence-based practice, a review of quantitative and qualitative research methods and exemplary research, and an examination of ethical considerations in conducting research with couples and families. In addition, the course focuses on specific research examining treatment efficacy for selected clinical issues affecting the health and functioning of couple and family systems. Skill-training for research-informed therapists and researcher-practitioners, including accessing research literature, critical appraisal of knowledge, and knowledge-translation strategies is also incorporated into the course.

Note that relevant Educational Goals [EG] and Expected Student Learning Outcomes [ESLO] are attached below. For complete listing of these, see the CFT Student Handbook.

Course Learning Objectives
By the completion of this course, the successful student will be able to:

1. Articulate an awareness of the current state of outcome/efficacy/evidence-based research in CFT. (broad knowledge of current discourse in field, professional challenges, areas of growth/development)
2. Articulate a broad understanding of current research methods (and associated core principles) utilized within the field of CFT, including qualitative and quantitative methods and analysis, and measurement tools that are utilized widely in research outcome studies as well as clinical assessment.
3. Articulate the difference between outcome and process research, and describe the associated implications for understanding therapy interventions, interactional processes, and the process of change.
4. Review in writing, for a professional audience, a specific (limited) area of current research in the field of CFT that includes a coherent description of primary findings together with a synthesis of the current state of research including clinical applications and gaps or limitations of extant literature.
5. Utilize knowledge translation skills to present research findings and implications (in a specific topic area) in language and terms oriented to a lay audience.
6. Critique professional published research, assessing the quality of research studies and program evaluation literature in terms of the congruence between theoretical orientation, methodological approaches and claims related to clinical implications, as well as taking a critical perspective with respect to the consideration of various social locations and marginalized populations, and potential biases and values associated with these approaches to CFT research.

* Students are required to check their uoguelph.ca e-mail account regularly as per university regulations: e-mail is the official route of communication between the University and its students.
**Educational Goals and Expected Learning Outcomes**

### SCIENTIFIC INQUIRY AND CRITICAL EVALUATION

**Educational Goal:** Students will **develop a professional identity** encompassing an interdisciplinary sensibility, informed by relevant research-based information from related disciplines (family therapy, sociology, psychology, social work, health, etc.) and supported by the principles of critical appraisal of knowledge.

**Didactic content; assigned and selected readings; class discussion; Assignments #1, #2, #3**

**ESLO 2 a.** Students will **develop an appreciation for the core principles** of qualitative and quantitative research methodologies and demonstrate an ability to critically evaluate the merits of social science research.

**Assignments #1, #2, #3**

**ESLO 2 b.** Students will **demonstrate an ability to write coherently** about integrating theory, research, and practice skills related to their professional work.

**ESLO 2 c.** Students will **have knowledge of current research developments** in the couple & family therapy field, including empirically validated and evidence-based therapy approaches

**Didactic content; assigned and selected readings; class discussion; Assignments #1, #2**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AAMFT Competency</th>
<th>Competency</th>
<th>Linked CRPO PC†</th>
<th>Demonstration of Knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONCEPTUAL – TO UNDERSTAND, PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE BASE</strong>…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1.7</td>
<td>Understand the concepts of reliability and validity, their relationship to assessment instruments, and how they influence therapeutic decision-making.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignment #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1</td>
<td>Know which models, modalities, and/or techniques are most effective for presenting problems.</td>
<td>1.2 1.3</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignment #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.1</td>
<td>Know the extent MFT literature, research, and evidence-based practice.</td>
<td>5.1 5.2</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.2</td>
<td>Understand research and program evaluation methodologies, both quantitative and qualitative, relevant to MFT and mental health services.</td>
<td>5.1 5.2</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.3</td>
<td>Understand the legal, ethical, and contextual issues involved in the conduct of clinical research and program evaluation.</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PERCEPTUAL – TO PERCEIVE, ABILITY TO MAKE PERTINENT AND RELEVANT OBSERVATIONS</strong>…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.1</td>
<td>Recognize opportunities for therapists and clients to participate in clinical research.</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXECUTIVE – TO DO, SKILL DEVELOPMENT</strong>…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.1</td>
<td>Read current MFT and other professional literature.</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.3</td>
<td>Critique professional research and assess the quality of research studies and program evaluation literature.</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EVALUATIVE – TO EVALUATE, CONSIDER APPLICATION</strong>…</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.3</td>
<td>Evaluate the accuracy and cultural relevance of behavioural health and relational diagnosis.</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #2, #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4.1</td>
<td>Evaluate knowledge of current clinical literature and its application.</td>
<td>5.1 5.2</td>
<td>Participation in class discussions; graded assignments #1, #2, #3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† See CRPO entry-to-Practice Competencies (PC) Table in Appendix C
Recommended Text:

Organization
- All class participants and the instructor have a shared responsibility for collaboratively creating a professional and positive learning environment in which there is a respectful acceptance of conflicting perspectives, complex explanations, and challenging ethical dilemmas.
- Differences in perspective and experiences can enrich learning for everyone and there is an expectation that all members of the class will listen with respect and curiosity to the ideas of others, as well as presenting your own ideas for discussion.
- Class preparation includes reading assigned articles and chapters prior to each class, and thinking about how the ideas presented might be applied to the design and implementation of research projects, the critical evaluation and application of published research, as well as the research-practitioner link.
- Classes will include didactic presentations, discussion of readings in small and large groups, and discussion with guest researcher-practitioners.
- Readings are available through courselink and the Library Course Reserve system. A reminder that you may NOT use the CFT printer to print course readings. Printers are available at a cost at various on-campus locations.

Course requirements and evaluation include the following:
Weekly Class Readings and Preparation – This course is designed to facilitate your reading of current research related to CFT practice as well as readings associated with your unique and particular interests at this stage in your professional development. As such you are expected to read a minimum of two (2) readings per week – in most cases, one of these is an assigned reading and the second will be selected by you to fit your interests. You are expected to read BOTH of these readings thoroughly and critically (more than once and using guidelines for critical perspectives contained in Appendix A). You should attend class prepared to discuss your reflections and ideas related to BOTH readings in large and small discussion groups.

Assignment #1 (See Assignment Description) – Module Assignment Reviewing Evidence-based Practice and Practice-based Evidence allows you to work at your own pace, to start early in the semester, and to revise and resubmit modules based on instructor feedback if you wish. Modules must be submitted to dropbox on courselink FRAN*6180 site in chronological order, with some exceptions (see description). This assignment is worth 60% of your total course grade for FRAN*6180.
- FIRST SUBMISSION of PARTS ONE AND TWO, as well as links to three papers being reviewed can be made beginning October 2nd and no later than midnight October 23rd.
- Revise and resubmit at any time before final deadline.
- FINAL DEADLINE for all submissions (including revised submissions) is no later than midnight November 21st.

Assignment #2 (See Assignment Description) – Knowledge Translation Blog written for lay audience for website/newspaper/waiting room audience – can be related to Assignment #1 review or can review another body of research re: evidence-based practice (see assignment description). This assignment is worth 20% of your total course grade for FRAN*6180.
- FINAL DEADLINE to submit this assignment is midnight December 3rd.

Assignment #3 (See Assignment Description) – Class presentations/preparation – based on additional weekly readings (Weeks 4-10 inclusive), submit three (3) 1 page single-spaced reflective memos on your experience of sharing an unassigned reading with others in two or three different classes or of a class discussion with guest
speaker (one only). See Assignment 3 description. **This assignment is worth 20% of your total course grade for FRAN*6180.**

- Submit first of three submissions no later than midnight **October 23rd**
- FINAL DEADLINE to submit all three is midnight **December 1st**.

Extensions for the final submission of written work will be given for medical or compassionate reasons. **Class members are expected to inform the instructor immediately and in writing should an extension be required.** Use courselink dropbox for submitting assignments and keep a copy of each assignment submitted. Assignments submitted after the due date or previously agreed-upon extension will be assigned a late penalty of 2% per day, this includes due dates for first submissions.

**Instructor feedback** - the University of Guelph is committed to providing students with appropriate and timely feedback on their work. Instructors must provide meaningful and constructive feedback prior to the 40th class day (November 3rd for 2017). Assignment #3 requires that at least one reflective memo is submitted by October 23rd in order for this timeline to be met. If you wish to receive more feedback prior to the 40th day, note that all assignments may be submitted earlier than the final submission date.

**Academic Misconduct**
The University of Guelph is committed to upholding the highest standards of academic integrity and it is the responsibility of all members of the University community – faculty, staff, and students – to be aware of what constitutes academic misconduct and to do as much as possible to prevent academic offences from occurring. University of Guelph students have the responsibility of abiding by the University's policy on academic misconduct regardless of their location of study; faculty, staff and students have the responsibility of supporting an environment that discourages misconduct. Students need to remain aware that instructors have access to and the right to use electronic and other means of detection. The Academic Misconduct Policy is detailed in the Graduate Calendar: [https://www.uoguelph.ca/registrar/calendars/graduate/current/genreg/sec_d0e2705.shtml](https://www.uoguelph.ca/registrar/calendars/graduate/current/genreg/sec_d0e2705.shtml)

**Course and Instructor Evaluation**
You are invited to talk to the instructor, outside of class time, if you have suggestions for changes at any point during the course. At the halfway point in the semester, we will review the course to date. You will have an opportunity for formal evaluation of the course and instructor at the end of the course.

**Absences/Class Attendance**
This course depends heavily on collaborative and active engagement of class members in learning activities. In the unlikely event that you are unable to attend class, or know in advance that you will be late, please contact the instructor by e-mail (lashbour@uoguelph.ca) or phonemail (ext. 54237) **prior to class**. If you must leave class early, you are expected to advise the instructor in advance.

**Electronic recording of classes** is expressly forbidden without prior consent of the instructor [or, where appropriate, student or guest presenter] and notice to all class participants. Material recorded with permission is restricted to use for this course and may not be reproduced or transmitted to others without further written consent.

**Accessibility**: The University of Guelph is committed to creating a barrier-free environment. Providing services for students is a shared responsibility among students, faculty and administrators. This relationship is based on respect of individual rights, the dignity of the individual and the University community’s shared commitment to an open and supportive learning environment. Students requiring service or accommodation, whether due to an identified, ongoing disability or a short-term disability should contact the Centre for Students with Disabilities as soon as possible.
Course Schedule and Required Readings (Subject to revision)

**IMPORTANT NOTICE: IN WEEKS 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10, YOU WILL ALSO SELECT ANOTHER READING TO PREPARE FOR CLASS – THIS WILL BE OF YOUR OWN CHOOSING FROM CURRENT CFT RESEARCH PAPERS IN PEER REVIEWED ACADEMIC JOURNALS OR FROM THE LIST CONTAINED ON ARES/COURSELINK. WHEN A GUEST SPEAKER ATTENDS, HE/SHE MAY MAKE SUGGESTIONS FOR THESE STUDENT-SELECTED READINGS AND A LIST OF THESE WILL BE PROVIDED TO YOU AT LEAST ONE WEEK IN ADVANCE WHERE POSSIBLE.**

**Week 1**  
September 11  
Introduction to Couple and Family Therapy Research

**Week 2**  
September 18  
Introduction to Couple and Family Therapy Research [cont]  

**Week 3**  
September 25  
CFT Research Methods – Process/Outcome, Qualitative/Quantitative  
Guest Researcher: Dr. Ruthie Neustifter, CFT  

**Week 4**  
October 2  
Research Informed Practice  
Guest Researcher: Dr. Andrea Breen, FRHD  
+ STUDENT SELECTED READING (select a research paper, not a review)

**Fall Study Break October 9 (class combined with Week 11 Class on November 27)**

**Week 5**  
October 16  
Knowledge Transfer: Clear Language & KT Tools  
Guest: Melissa MacKay, Community Engaged Scholarship Institute (CESI)  
+ STUDENT SELECTED READING

**Week 6**  
October 23  
Legal, Ethical, and Contextual Issues related to Research  
Guest Researcher: Dr. Kim Anderson, FRHD  
CRPO Code of Ethics  
+ STUDENT SELECTED READING

**Week 7**  
October 30  
Evidence-based Practice  
Guest Researcher: Dr. Jess Haines, AHN  
+ STUDENT SELECTED READING
## Week 8
### November 6  
**Reviewing Research**

**Guest Researcher: Dr. John Beaton, CFT**


+ STUDENT SELECTED READING

## Week 9
### November 13  
**No class (instructor away)**

ONLINE ACTIVITIES REQUIRED – see Courselink

## Week 10
### November 20  
**Practice-informed Research**

**Guest Researchers: Dr. Mohammed Baobaid & Abir Al Jamal, Muslim Resource Centre for Social Support and Integration, London, ON**


+ STUDENT SELECTED READING

## Week 11/12
### Final Class  
### November 27  
**What did we learn? Wrap Up**

Complete Self-Assessed Learning (see Courselink Content tab) prior to class and bring to class.