

Title:

Stability and function of an encapsulated probiotic bacterium for foodborne pathogen control

Authors:

Linyan Li^{1,2}, Xiaoyin Wang^{1,2}, Hai Yu², Qi Wang², Martin Lessard³, Martin Mondor⁴, Janghan Choi⁵, Chengbo Yang⁵, and Joshua Gong²*

Affiliation:

 ¹State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Technology, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China 330047
 ²Guelph Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Guelph, ON, Canada N1G 5C9
 ³Sherbrooke Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Sherbrooke, QC Canada J1M 0C8
 ⁴St-Hyacinthe Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, St-Hyacinthe, QC Canada J2S 8E3
 ⁵Department of Animal Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB Canada R3T 2N2

* Correspondence:
Joshua Gong: e-mail: joshua.gong@canada.ca
93 Stone Road W. Guelph, ON Canada N1G 5C9

Abstract:

Lactobacillus are commonly used as probiotics to control enteric infection and promote animal gut health. However, they are heat sensitive, which limits their application. We have developed a novel spray-drying encapsulation technology that resulted in approximately 0.5-log reduction of *Lactobacillus zeae* LB1, a probiotic with the function to control *Salmonella* and ETEC *in vivo*. In the current study, encapsulated LB1 was evaluated for its stability during storage and feed pelleting and for the function on pig gut health. The concentration of encapsulated LB1 was initially 1.17×10^9 CFU/g powder. After 14-month storage at 4°C and 22°C in a sealed container, the concentration was decreased to 9.7×10^8 and 1.68×10^8 CFU/g powder, representing 17.1%

and 85.6% reduction, respectively. In the feed pelleting test, encapsulated or non-encapsulated LB1 was mixed with feed ingredients (Starter for piglets) and then subjected to pelleting process (temperature setting: 80°C; speed: 25 kg/min). The initial concentration of LB1 in feed was 2.8×10^6 CFU/g for the encapsulated form and 3.0×10^6 CFU/g for the non-encapsulated form. Seven days after pelleting, the concentration of encapsulated LB1 in pelleted and mash feed was 4.0×10⁵ and 2.3×10⁶ CFU/g, respectively. In contrast, the concentration of nonencapsulated LB1 in pelleted and mash feed was reduced to 2.5×10⁴ and 1.6×10⁶ CFU/g, respectively, representing more than 1-log reduction compared with encapsulated LB1. The mash feed was the feed ingredients without pelleting and served as a control. Thirty days after pelleting, 2.8×10⁵ or 1.6×10⁶ CFU/g of encapsulated LB1 was detected in pelleted or mash feed, whereas 2.0×10⁴ or 5.0×10⁴ CFU/g of non-encapsulated LB1 was found in pelleted or mash feed, again more than 1-log reduction. To examine the function of LB1 and its combination with colostrum on pig gut health, a pig trial with 80 newly-weaned piglets was conducted. The piglets were equally allocated to five groups: 1) basal diets (control, CTL); 2) basal diets supplemented with non-encapsulated LB1 (1x10⁸ CFU/pig per day, NEP); 3) basal diets supplemented with encapsulated LB1 (1x10⁸ CFU/pig per day, EP); 4) basal diets supplemented with 5% bovine colostrum (BC); 5) basal diets supplemented with EP and BC (EP-BC, same dose as in Group 3 or 4). After five days' treatment, all the treatment groups showed no significant difference to the CTL group in growth performance. Supplementation of LB1 or colostrum individually did not affect the population size of *Lactobacillus* in the ileum and colon of pigs. However, the EP-BC group had a significantly increased population of *Lactobacillus* in both the ileum and colon (94.57-fold and 23.51-fold, respectively) compared with the CTL group. Currently, the host responses of pigs to the different treatments are under investigation.

Keywords: Lactobacillus; Encapsulation; Feed pelleting; Pigs; Gut health