

Consumer Information Processes MCS3600 Fall 2010

General Information

Instructor: Dr. Lefa Teng
Office: MINS 213 A

Phone: 824-4120, ext. 53818
Office Hours: W 9:30am-11:30am
Email: leteng@uoguelph.ca

Course Description

This course provides an in-depth treatment of information processing research and theories as they relate to consumer judgement and choice. Components of theory addressed include: attention and perception, motivation, processing capacity, encoding and memory storage, retrieval and decision processes. Applications to marketplace policy and strategy are discussed.

This course is intended to introduce you to an in-depth analysis of consumer information processes from a theoretical standpoint, based on the knowledge and skills you have learned in the two basic courses (i.e., Fundamentals of Consumer Behaviour and Research Methods). It consists of reading recent academic articles (critically focusing on hypotheses, methods, and findings of the articles), presenting and discussing these articles, and conducting application exercises.

Main course objectives include:

- 1. To critically analyse and interpret academic articles, and identify important research questions in the field of consumer behaviour;
- 2. To describe the process of conducting consumer research and reporting empirical findings in the form of a journal article;
- 3. To apply consumer behaviour theories to the real market world.

Prerequisites: MCS*2600 (Fundamentals of Consumer Behaviour) and MCS*3030 (Research Methods)

Format

Classroom sessions will include a mix of introductory lectures, group presentations (35 minutes long for each group), class discussions and classroom application exercises. Students are expected to do the required readings <u>before</u> coming to class each week.



Students will have the opportunity to apply the concepts discussed in the lectures by completing several individual assignments and library work. They will find these application exercises useful to their learning as well as skill-building.

Courselink

The MCS*3600 Courselink webpage (address: http://courselink.uoguelph.ca) is a key component of this course. Courselink is where course materials and grades are posted. It is also an information center where you can find course reminders and updates. You should check the website before contacting the instructor with questions of a general nature related to this course.

Grading Scheme

Class participations	15%
Assignment (1 @ 20%)	20%
Mid-term Exam	15%

Group Evaluation: (50%)

Article presentations (2 @ 7.5%)	15%
Application exercise	10%
Final paper presentation	5%
Final written paper	20%

Class participation

The classroom experience is judged important to the learning process. Students are expected to have read all selected journal articles to be discussed in each class. Questions should be prepared for presenters to add to class discussion and article critique. Evaluation of class participation will be based on evidence that students have read the required material, therefore on the quality of input, not the quantity. Questions regarding articles will appear on the two in-class tests. Individual participation grades are assigned on a weekly basis.

Assignment

One individual assignment will be announced in class. The assignment should be typed with one-inch margins, double- spaced, and in a font size no smaller than 10-point. It should be 6-8 pages. Appendices may be appropriate, and not limited by the page constraint.

Due date for the Assignment: October 7, Thursday

The deadline is absolute. Unless acceptable documentary evidence is provided, all late work will be given a zero grade.



Mid-term Exam

Short answer questions will be used in the mid-term exam. The questions may involve short scenarios or marketing decision problems. Your understanding of the assigned readings, lectures and active participation in all of the learning activities will be essential to perform well on the exam. The mid-term exam is scheduled in the class of Week 6.

Note: Appropriate medical or compassionate document is required to receive academic consideration for being unable to take a test at the designated time, and you must notify the instructor in advance that you will be unable to attend.

Article presentations

You will be assigned to a group in the first week of class. You will work in this group (5-6 members in each group) for both the presentations and the final paper. Group members must prepare jointly for class presentation and discussions. No changes to group composition will be permitted once the groups have been formed. Individual student grades on group work will reflect the instructor's assessment of the assignment plus group members' assessment of the contributions of each other using the attached Peer Evaluation Form.

Each group should choose two papers from the list of articles provided for presentation. All papers are available on Courselink or can be found in the referenced journal online or at the library. Paper selection will occur in the first week of classes.

Each group member is required to read the article, summarize it, critique it and interpret its marketing implications for the class.

The class presentation should cover the following:

- a. Main research questions/ issues being investigated
- b. Practical importance of the issues
- c. An overview of the study
- d. Hypotheses
- e. Research methodology/ research procedures
- f. Results and their interpretation
- g. Potential marketing applications
- h. Strengths and weaknesses of the paper
- i. Recommendations for improvement

Tips for paper presentation:

- a. Read the paper early and do brainstorming well at least two weeks ahead;
- b. Prepare presentation slides and send an electronic copy of the presentation to the instructor at least one day ahead of the presentation;
- c. Present a paper as authors of the paper.
- d. Plan the presentation to last 35-40 minutes (including the time for leading



- class discussions).
- e. Each group member is required to participate in the presentation, and transition among members must be well coordinated.
- f. You are expected (almost required) to elicit audience participation frequently during the presentation (i.e., every five minutes). This is to ensure that article presentations become interactive.
- g. You may incorporate "discussion preparation questions" into your presentation. Challenge the audience to answer these questions at multiple points during the presentation, and then present your own answer.

Application exercise

Each group is assigned one application exercise. Use of **visual cues** (e.g., print ads, commercials available on the web, product and company logos, actual products, etc.) is strongly recommended. Note....submit a copy of the advertisements or magazines that you use for this exercise.

Final paper

The details of instruction about the final paper will be provided by the instructor in Week 7. This paper should be typed with one-inch margins, doubled spaced, with a font size no smaller that 10-point (although 12-point would be easier to read), and be minimum 18-20 pages in length. Appendices may be appropriate, and are not limited by the page constraint. As stated in the course outline, all deadlines are absolute unless documentary evidence is provided. All late work and plagiarism will be penalized.

Final paper presentation

Details of the oral presentation will be provided later in the semester. Presentations are scheduled for WEEK11 and WEEK12. All participants are required to attend the presentation sessions. Failing to attend will be given a -2 mark.

WEEKLY SCHEDULE:

Session	Topic	
1	Introduction to Consumer Information Process	
2	Basic Paradigms in Consumer Research	
3	Motivation, Perception, Learning and Memory	
4	Affect/Emotions and Cognition	
5	Message Framing and Information Processing	
6	Attitude Formation and Change	
7	Judgment and Decision Making	
8	Consumer Satisfaction	
9	Gaining Advantage Through Relationships with Consumers	
10	Social and Cultural Influences	
11	Term Paper Presentations	
12	Term Paper Presentations	



Overall Weekly Plan for Covering Specific Topics (tentative):

Week	Tuesday		Thursday	
VVCCK	Topic	Reading	Topic	Reading
1 (Sep. 9)			Course outline Group formation and assignment of articles	9
(Sep. 14/16)	Introduction to Consumer Information Process and Basic Paradigms in CB	Articles #1-4	Motivation, Perception, Learning and Memory	Lecture Notes
3 (Sep. 21/23)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #5-6	Affect/Emotions and Cognition	Lecture Notes
4 (Sep. 28/30)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #7-8	Message Framing and Information Processing	Lecture Notes
5 (Oct. 5/7)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #9-10	Attitude Formation and Change	Lecture Notes
(Oct. 12/14)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #11-12	Review session for Mid- term and guidelines for application exercise	Lecture Notes
7 (Oct. 19/21)	Mid-term Exam (in class)		Judgment and Decision Making	Lecture Notes
8 (Oct. 26 /28)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #13-14	Consumer Satisfaction	Lecture Notes
9 (Nov. 2/4)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #15-16	Gaining Advantage Through Relationships with Consumers	Lecture Notes
10 (Nov. 9/11)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #17-18	Social and Cultural Influences	Lecture Notes
11 (Nov. 16/18)	Two Group Presentations	Articles #19-20	Project Presentations	
12 (Nov. 23/25)	Project Presentations		Project Presentations	
13 (Nov. 30)	Project Presentations			



LIST OF READINGS

Session 1 Introduction to Consumption Behavior Theory

- 1. Calder, Bobby J. and Alice Tybout (1987), "What Consumer Research Is," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 14 (June), 136-140.
- 2. Zaltman, Gerald (2000), "Consumer Researchers: Take a Hike!" *Journal of Consumer Research*, 26(March), 423-428.

Session 2 Basic Paradigms in Consumer Research

- 3. Baumgartner, Hans (2002), "Toward a Personology of the Consumer," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(September), 286-292.
- 4. Vargo, Stephen and Robert Lusch (2004), "Evolving to a New Dominant Logic for Marketing," *Journal of Marketing*, 68 (January), 1-17.

Session 3 Motivation, Perception, Learning and Memory

- 5. Braun, Kathryn A. (1999), "Postexperience Advertising Effects on Consumer Memory," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 25 (March), 319-334
- 6. Celsi, Richard L. and Jerry C. Olson (1988), "The Role of Involvement in Attention and Comprehension Process," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 15 (September), 210-224

Session 4 Affect/Emotions and Cognition

- 7. Anand Keller, Punam and Lauren Goldberg Block (1995), "Increasing the Persuasiveness of Fear Appeals: The Effect of Arousal and Elaboration," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 22 (March), 448-459.
- 8. Rui Zhu, Xinlei Chen, and Srabana Dasgupta (2008), "Can Trade-Ins Hurt You? Exploring the Effect of a Trade-In on Consumers' Willingness to Pay for a New Product?" *Journal of Marketing Research*, XLV (April), 159-170.

Session 5 Message Framing and Information Processing

- 9. Aaker, Jennifer and Angela Lee (2001), "'I' seek Pleasure and 'We' Avoid Pains: The Role of Self-Regulatory Goals in Information Processing and Persuasion," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 28 (June), 33-49.
- 10. Zhao, Guangzhi and Connie Pechmann (2007), "The Impact of Regulatory Focus on Adolescents' Response to Antismoking Advertising Campaigns," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 44 (November), 671-687.



Session 6 Attitude Formation and Change

- 11. Malaviya, P., Kisielius, J. and Sternthal, B. (1996). The Effect of Type of Elaboration on Advertising Processing and Judgment, *Journal of Marketing Research*, 33.
- 12. Menon, Geeta, Lauren G. Block, and Suresh Ramanathan (2002), "We're at as Much Risk as We Are Led to Believe: Effects of Message Cues on Judgment of Health Risk," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 28 (March), 533-549.

Session 7 Judgment and Decision Making

- 13. Cooke, Alan D., Harish Sujan, Mita Sujan, and Barton A. Weitz (2002), "Marketing the Unfamiliar: The Role of Context and Item-Specific Information in Electronic Agent Recommendations," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34 (November), 488-497
- 14. Trifts, Valerie and Gerald Häubl (2003), "Information Availability and Consumer Preference: Can Online Retailers Benefit From Providing Access to Competitor Price Information? *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 13(1&2), 149-159

Session 8 Consumer Satisfaction

- 15. Diehl, Kristin and Cait Poynor, "Great Expectations?! Assortment Size, Expectations and Satisfaction", forthcoming, Journal of Marketing Research.
- 16. Luo, Xueming and Christian Homburg (2008), "Satisfaction, Complain, and the Stock Value Gap," *Journal of Marketing*, 72 (4), 29-43.

Session 9 Gaining Advantage through Relationships with Consumers

- 17. Gurhan-Canli, Zeynep and Durairaj Maheswaran (1998), "The Effects of Extensions on Brand Name Dilution and Enhancement," *Journal of Marketing Research*, 35 (November), 464-473.
- 18. Kirmani, Amna, Sanjay Sood and Sheri Bridges (1999), "The Ownership Effects of Consumer Responses to Brand Line Stretches," *Journal of Marketing*, 63 (January), 88-101.

Session 10 Social and Cultural Influences

- 19. Aaker, Jennifer L. and Durairal Maheswaran (1997), "The Effect of Cultural Orientation on Persuasion," *Journal of Consumer Research*, 24 (December), 315-328.
- 20. Jacqueline J. Kacen and Julie Anne Lee (2002), "The Influence of Culture on Consumer Impulsive Buying Behaviour," *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 12, 2, 163-176.



Session 11 Term Paper Presentations

Session 12 Term Paper Presentations

Appendix One

Academic Misconduct

Academic misconduct is broadly understood to mean offences against the academic integrity of the learning environment. This would include, but is not limited to, the following examples:

- 1. Copying from or using prohibited material including, but not limited to documentary, electronic equipment or other aids not approved by the instructor, in an assignment or examination.
- 2. Improper academic practices-this includes the falsification, fabrication or misrepresentation of material, including research results, that is part of academic evaluation, the learning process, or scholarly exchange. This offence would include the reference to resources that are known to not exist or the listing of others who have not contributed to the work.
- 3. Plagiarism-in the broadest sense of misrepresenting the work of others as one's own. Plagiarism specifically can be understood as: the act of copying, reproducing or paraphrasing significant portions of someone else's published or unpublished material, and representing these as one's own thinking by not acknowledging the appropriate source or by the failure to use appropriate quotation marks. These materials include: literary compositions and phrasing, performance compositions, chemical compounds, art works, laboratory reports, research results, calculations and the results of calculations, diagrams, constructions, and computer reports or software. Students have the responsibility to learn and use the conventions of documentation, and, if in any doubt, are encouraged to consult with the instructor of the course, the academic supervisor, or the department chair/director for clarification. Instructors have the responsibility of advising students in writing of any significant individual interpretations of plagiarism or of any aspects concerning paraphrasing limits or referencing formats unique to the instruction, the discipline, or the course material.



Appendix Two

Peer Evaluation Form

(Fall 2010)

RATING

NOTE:

Suppose your efforts in the team work are worth 100 points. A colleague of yours who put in twice as much effort than you should be assigned 200 points. On the other hand, a colleague who put in only half as much effort as you did would be 50 points. Use other numbers to indicate level of efforts that each group number put in for the final term project. Please take into account both the quality and quantity of effort in adjusting marks for yourself and other group members.

	GROUP MEMBERS	POINTS
1	(Yourself	<u>100</u>
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		

COMMENTS: Please explain reasons for any significant differences in marks given to yourself or other group members in the space below and, if necessary, on the back of this sheet.

course instructor will review it.

This evaluation will be held in strictest confidence - only the



University Grading Scheme:

This course follows the University grading scheme outlined in the University Calendar:

A+	90-100%	Excellent: An outstanding performance in which the
A	85-89	student demonstrates a superior grasp of the subject matter,
A-	80-84	and an ability to go beyond the given material in a critical
11	00 04	and constructive manner. The student demonstrates a high
		degree of creative and/or logical thinking, a superior ability
		to organize, to analyze, and to integrate ideas, and a
		thorough familiarity with the appropriate literature and
		techniques.
B+	77-79	Good: A more than adequate performance in which the
B	73-76	student demonstrates a thorough grasp of the subject matter,
B-	70-72	and an ability to organize and examine the material in a
D-	70-72	critical and constructive manner. The student demonstrates a
		good understanding of the relevant issues and a familiarity
		with the appropriate literature and techniques.
C+	67-69	Acceptable: An adequate performance in which the student
C	63-66	demonstrates a generally adequate grasp of the subject
C-	60-62	matter and a moderate ability to examine the material in a
	00 02	critical and constructive manner. The student displays an
		adequate understanding of the relevant issues, and a general
		familiarity with the appropriate literature and techniques.
D+	57-59	Minimally acceptable: A barely adequate performance in
D	53-56	which the student demonstrates a familiarity with the subject
D-	50-52	matter, but whose attempts to examine the material in a
		critical and constructive manner are only partially
		successful. The student displays some understanding of the
		relevant issues, and some familiarity with the appropriate
		literature and techniques.
F	0-49	Fail: An inadequate performance.

http://www.uoguelph.ca/undergrad_calendar/index.shtml

This Course Outline Is Subject to Change.