Messy but worthy! An overview of the systematics of Neotropical Tachinini (Diptera: Tachinidae)

by Filipe Macedo Gudin

Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Laboratório de Sistemática e Biogeografia de Insecta, Rua do Matão, Travessa 14, nº 101, São Paulo, SP, CEP 005508-090, Brazil. E-mail: filipe.gudin@gmail.com

Since I began my studies with tachinids as an undergrad student in 2010 at the Universidade de São Paulo, my attention was instantly drawn to the large, bristly and colorful specimens of the tribe Tachinini. Under the supervision of Dr. Silvio Nihei in the Departamento de Zoologia, I chose to revise the taxonomy of a small genus of Tachinini, *Xanthozona* Townsend (Fig. 1). This was the beginning of my career in the taxonomy and systematics of Tachinidae. Although the task was initially small, I had no idea at the time of how challenging the study of Neotropical tachinids would be, especially within this tribe.

First of all, the tribe Tachinini is part of the controversial subfamily Tachininae, whose classification has been the subject of debate for many decades (Mesnil 1966, Crosskey 1973, 1976, 1980, O'Hara & Cerretti 2016). The monophyly of Tachinini was confirmed by morphological and molecular characters (Cerretti



Figure 1. Lateral view of a male *Xanthozona melanopyga* (Wiedemann) from São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

et al. 2014, Stireman *et al.* 2019), but the classification of the polyphyletic subfamily Tachininae and its tribes has still to be clearly defined. The classification of Tachinini, however, was subjected to considerable rearrangement during the last century. Townsend (1936) divided Tachinini into seven tribes, namely the Cuphocerini, Dejeaniini, Juriniini (also known as Epalpini), Microtropesini, Macromyini, Schineriini and Tachinini. Later, Mesnil (1966) provided some diagnostic characters for the tribe Tachinini (subtribe Tachinina in his classification) and lumped all of Townsend's tribes into it with the exception of Macromyini (now incorporated into the tribe Nemoraeini). Mesnil's concept of Tachinini has been accepted without much debate since then.

Despite some limitations of Townsend's classification there is, indeed, some degree of morphological heterogeneity among many of the taxa in the current Tachinini, especially when considering the development of palpi, antennae, head chaetotaxy, and wings. Furthermore, comparative studies of characters of the male and female terminalia of Tachinini remain very scarce, despite the significant phylogenetic signal that these character systems exhibit within the family (Herting 1957, Verbeke 1962, Thompson 1963, Tschorsnig 1985, Cantrell 1988, Cerretti *et al.* 2014).

Another layer of complexity in the taxonomy of Neotropical Tachinini is the historical legacy of splitting taxa into multiple monotypic genera, as was done also in other tribes of the family (O'Hara 2013). With a worldwide distribution, Tachinini are a large tribe, comprising 139 valid genera and a little more than 900 species (Guimarães 1971, Crosskey 1973, 1976,

Herting & Dely-Draskovits 1993, O'Hara & Wood 2004, O'Hara *et al.* 2009, 2019, O'Hara & Cerretti 2016). However, 71 genera (about 51%) of the tribe are monotypic, with 67 of them endemic to the Neotropical Region. Curiously, the majority of monotypic taxa (42 genera) is concentrated in the former New World tribe Juriniini, characterized by specimens with vestigial or absent palpi. These numbers clearly reflect an over-splitting of the lineages comprising the Tachinini in the Neotropics, and this situation needs to be reassessed and revised.

In light of the challenges found in the classification of Tachininae and in the taxonomic problems of Tachinini that I found during my undergrad research and Master's degree, I decided to focus my Ph.D. project on the phylogeny and historical biogeography of the New World Tachinini. Therefore, the main objectives of my Ph.D. project are to infer the phylogeny of Tachinini with morphological characters and molecular data, focusing on New World taxa, and to reconstruct the biogeographic history of the New World Ineages of Tachinini. With a phylogenetic perspective, I intend to propose an updated classification of New World Tachinini, providing diagnostic characters for the genera. Additionally, with a biogeographic reconstruction it will be possible to better understand the diversification of tachinine lineages in the New World, especially in such important biogeographic areas as the Amazon rainforest, the Atlantic Forest, the Cerrado (also known as the Brazilian savanna) and the Andean mountains.



Figures 2-3. Itatiaia National Park in the Atlantic Forest (state of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). **2**. Author at an overlook in the park after collecting many tachinids and before heading back to São Paulo. **3**. Female of a probable new species of *Rhachoepalpus* Townsend collected in the rupestrian grasslands of the park. Photo taken by Thalles P. L. Pereira.

To sample genetic data, I traveled with Dr. Silvio Nihei and my colleagues at the Laboratório de Sistemática e Biogeografia de Insecta to several field sites in Brazil to collect fresh specimens. One amazing site where we collected a great diversity of species of Tachinini was the Itatiaia National Park in the state of Rio de Janeiro (Figs. 2, 3). The park covers a large area of native Atlantic Forest with rupestrian grasslands at elevations above 2,400 m. One of the highest peaks in Brazil, known as Pico das Agulhas Negras, has an elevation of 2,790 m and is the main attraction for visitors, but the surrounding area is inhabited by many endemic species of flora and fauna. Another interesting and curiously unexplored site for tachinids is the Cantareira State Park on the northern edge of metropolitan São Paulo (Fig. 4). Despite being so close to the large city, the insect fauna of the park is not well known. We collected some species there described by Charles Townsend, who had lived in the nearby city of Itaquaquecetuba, the type locality of many of his species (Hansen & Toma 2004).

To obtain morphological and biogeographical data of species, I visited eight Brazilian collections dispersed throughout the country¹. I also had the opportunity to visit the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History (Washington, D.C., USA) for six months, from late 2018 to early 2019, to study the type material of Tachinini (including many Townsend's types). While in North America I was also able to visit the collections of the American Museum of Natural History (New York, USA) and the Canadian National Collection of Insects (Ottawa, Canada).

My first phylogenetic analyses based on morphology included 115 characters for 192 taxa of Tachinini, covering about 79% of the genera of the tribe. Preliminary results indicate that Tachinini are a monophyletic group, with lineages of the Old World being the first divergences of the tribe. The old Juriniini were also reconstructed as monophyletic and nested within Tachinini, including other genera with vestigial palpi formerly classified in Cuphocerini. Several monotypic taxa share many morphological characters of the male terminalia with other broader genera, such as *Epalpus* Rondani, *Jurinia* Robineau-Desvoidy and *Trichophora* Macquart, which might result in new synonyms. Analyses with molecular data and the reconstruction of historical biogeography of this tribe are still in progress.

As part of my study, I hope to provide morphological diagnoses of the main generic groups of the New World Tachinini, and to compare the tribe with other related tribes of the subfamily. I am also willing to collaborate with other researchers interested in the taxonomy, host associations and phylogenetics of Tachinidae, especially in the Neotropical Region.

- CEIOC, Coleção Entomológica do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro
- DZUP, Museu de Entomologia Pe. Jesus Santiago Moure, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba
- INPA, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus
- MNRJ, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro
- MZSP, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo

MZUFBA, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Federal da Bahia, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador UFMG, Coleção Entomológica do Centro de Coleções Taxonômicas da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte

¹ The collections visited were:

VOBC, private collection of Vitor Becker, Camacan, Bahia.

References

- Cantrell, B.K. (1988) The comparative morphology of the male and female postabdomen of the Australian Tachinidae (Diptera), with descriptions of some first-instar larvae and pupae. *Invertebrate Systematics*, 2, 81–221. https://doi.org/10.1071/IT9880081
- Cerretti, P., O'Hara, J.E., Wood, D.M., Shima, H., Inclán, D.J. & Stireman, J.O.III. (2014) Signal through the noise? Phylogeny of the Tachinidae (Diptera) as inferred from morphological evidence. *Systematic Entomology*, 39, 335–353. https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12062
- Crosskey, R.W. (1973) A conspectus of the Tachinidae (Diptera) of Australia, including keys to the supraspecific taxa and taxonomic and host catalogues. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History). Entomology Supplement*, 21, 1–221.
- Crosskey, R.W. (1976) A taxonomic conspectus of the Tachinidae (Diptera) of the Oriental Region. *Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History). Entomology Supplement*, 26, 1–357.
- Crosskey, R.W. (1980) Family Tachinidae. Pp. 822–882. *In*: Crosskey, R.W. et al. (eds.), *Catalogue of the Diptera of the Afrotropical Region*. British Museum (Natural History), London. 1437 pp.
- Guimarães, J.H. (1971) Family Tachinidae (Larvaevoridae). A Catalogue of the Diptera of the Americas South of the United States, 104, 333 pp.
- Hansen, D.E. & Toma, R. (2004) Visit to Itaquaquecetuba (Brazil) and the old homestead of C.H.T. Townsend. *The Tachinid Times*, 17, 3–8.
- Herting, B. (1957) Das weibliche Postabdomen der calyptraten Fliegen (Diptera) und sein Merkmalswert für die Systematik der Gruppe. *Zeitschrift für Morphologie und Ökologie der Tiere*, 45, 429–461.
- Herting, B. & Dely-Draskovits, Á. (1993) Family Tachinidae. Pp. 118–458. In: Soós, Á. & L. Papp, L. (eds.), Catalogue of Palaeartic Diptera. Volume 13. Anthomyiidae – Tachinidae. Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest. 624 pp.
- Mesnil, L.P. (1966) 64g. Larvaevorinae (Tachininae). Die Fliegen der Palaearktischen Region, 10, 881–928.
- O'Hara, J.E. (2013) History of tachinid classification (Diptera, Tachinidae). *ZooKeys*, 316, 1–34. https://doi. org/10.3897/zookeys.316.5132
- O'Hara, J.E. & Cerretti, P. (2016) Annotated catalogue of the Tachinidae (Insecta, Diptera) of the Afrotropical Region, with the description of seven new genera. *ZooKeys*, 575, 1–344. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.575.6072
- O'Hara, J.E., Henderson, S.J. & Wood, D.M. (2019) *Preliminary checklist of the Tachinidae of the world*. Version 1.0. PDF document, 681 pages. Available at: http://www.nadsdiptera.org/Tach/WorldTachs/Checklist/ Worldchecklist.html (accessed on 11 February 2020).
- O'Hara, J.E., Shima, H. & Zhang, C. (2009) Annotated catalogue of the Tachinidae (Insecta: Diptera) of China. *Zootaxa*, 2190, 1–236. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.2190.1.1
- O'Hara, J.E. & Wood, D.M. (2004) Catalogue of the Tachinidae (Diptera) of America north of Mexico. *Memoirs on Entomology, International*, 18, iv + 410 pp.
- Stireman, J.O. III, Cerretti, P., O'Hara, J.E., Blaschke, J.D. & Moulton, J.K. (2019) Molecular phylogeny and evolution of world Tachinidae (Diptera). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 139, 106358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.12.002
- Thompson, W.R. (1963) The tachinids of Trinidad. II. Echinomyiines, dexiinaes, and allies. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 41, 335–576.
- Townsend, C.H.T. (1936) *Manual of Myiology in twelve parts., Part III. Oestroid Classification and Habits, Gymnosomatidae to Tachinidae*. Charles Townsend & Filhos, Itaquaquecetuba, São Paulo, 249 pp.
- Tschorsnig, H.-P. (1985) Taxonomie forstlich wichtiger Parasiten: Untersuchungen zur Struktur des männlichen Postabdomens der Raupenfliegen (Diptera, Tachinidae). *Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde. Serie A (Biologie)*, 383, 1–137.
- Verbeke, J. (1962) Contribution a l'étude des Tachinidae africains (Diptera). *Exploration Hydrobiologique des Lacs Kivu, Édouard et Albert (1952–1954). Résultats scientifiques* 3 (Fasc. 4), 77–187 + 25 pls.