# PSYC\*6920, Course Outline: Winter 2022

## **General Information**

**Course Title:** Applied Social Psychology and Intervention

### **Course Description:**

This course will critically examine theoretical approaches and research in the field of applied social psychology with a particular focus on work aimed at generating intervention strategies intended to ameliorate social and practical problems. The course will also consider implications for social policy.

Credit Weight: 0.50

Academic Department (or campus): Department of Psychology

Semester Offering: Winter 2022 Class Schedule: Fridays 11:30-14:20

Location: MCKN room 314

#### Instructor Information:

Instructor Name: Benjamin Giguère Instructor Email: bgiguere@uoguelph.ca Telephone: 519-824-4120 ext. 56562

Office location: MacKinnon Building Extension (MCKN) 4007

Office hours: TBA

#### **Course Content**

Upon completion of the course, through concise, accurate and reliable oral and written communication, students will be able to:

- 1. demonstrate an in depth understanding of social psychology theories
- 2. demonstrate the ability to critically think about and integrate research from different social psychology theories
- 3. demonstrate the ability to apply social psychological theories to think critically and creatively about the nature of social and practical problems
- 4. demonstrate the ability to apply social psychological theories to develop creative interventions aimed at addressing social and practical problems
- 5. demonstrate the ability to facilitate conversations about complex social psychological research and theories, as well as their application to address social and practical problems
- 6. demonstrate key ethical principles and professionalism (including accountability, responsibility, time management)

# **Seminar Content:**

Date	Topics	Readings		
Jan 14th	Welcome to the course, overview of social psychology, basic participation and facilitation skills and selection of meeting lead	<ol> <li>Batson, C. D. (2005). Seven Possible Social—Psychological Wisdoms. <i>Psychological Inquiry, 16,</i> 152-157.</li> <li>Spencer, S. J., Zanna, M. P., &amp; Fong, G. T. (2005). Establishing a causal chain: Why experiments are often more effective than mediational analyses in examining psychological processes. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 89,</i> 845–851.</li> <li>Suggested supplemental readings:         <ul> <li>Rucker, D. D., Preacher, K. J., Tormala, Z. L., &amp; Petty, R. E. (2011). Mediation analysis in social psychology: Current practices and new recommendations. <i>Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 5,</i> 359–371. doi:10.1111/j.1751-9004.2011.00355.x</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		
Jan 21st	Overview of applied social psychology	<ol> <li>Lewin, K. (1943). Psychology and the process of group living. The Journal of Social Psychology, 17, 113-131.</li> <li>Lévy-Leboyer, C. (1988). Success and failure in applying psychology. American Psychologist, 43,779-785.</li> <li>Mortensen, C. R. &amp; Cialdini, R. B. (2010). Full-cycle social psychology for theory and application. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 4, 53-63.</li> <li>Mark, M.M., &amp; Bryant, F.B. (1984). Potential pitfalls of a more applied social psychology: Review and recommendations. Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 5, 231-253.</li> </ol>		
		<ul> <li>Suggested supplemental readings:</li> <li>Cook, T. D. &amp; Campbell, D. T. (1979). Quasi-experimentation:         Design &amp; Analysis Issues for Field Settings. Rand McNally College         Publishing Company: Chicago.</li> <li>Ellard-Gray, A., Jeffrey, N. K., Choubak, M., &amp; Crann, S. E. (2015).         Finding the Hidden Participant: Solutions for Recruiting Hidden,         Hard-to-Reach, and Vulnerable Populations. International Journal         of Qualitative Methods 14, 1-10.</li> <li>Prentice, D. A., &amp; Miller, D. T. (1992). When small effects are         impressive. Psychological Bulletin, 112, 160-164.         doi:10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.160</li> </ul>		
Jan 28th	AS Theories #1: Overview of practical theories	Lewin, K. (1951). Chapter 7 from Field theory in social science:     Selected theoretical papers by Kurt Lewin. Harper & Row     Publishers, New York.		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		<ol> <li>Giguère, B., Beggs, T., &amp; Sirois, F. M. (2019). Social cognitive approaches to health issues. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 185-214). SAGE Publishing.</li> <li>Glanz, K. &amp; Bishop, D. B. (2010). The role of Behavioral Science Theory in Development and Implementation of Public Health Interventions. Annual Review of Public Health, 31, 399-418.</li> <li>Rothman A. J. (2004). "Is there nothing more practical than a good theory?": Why innovations and advances in health behavior change will arise if interventions are used to test and refine theory. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 1, 11.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Barnes Truelove, H., Schultz, P. W. &amp; Gillis, A. J. (2019). Using social psychology to protect the environment. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 491-514). SAGE Publishing.</li> </ul>		
Feb 4th	AS Theories #2:	1. Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An agentic		
4111	Social Cognitive Theory	perspective. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 1-26.		
	Theory	2. Bandura, A. (2004). Health promotion by social cognitive means.		
	Thought paper #1 due	<ol> <li>Health Education &amp; Behavior, 31, 143-164.</li> <li>Sheeshka, J. D., Woolcott, D. M., &amp; MacKinnon, N. J. (1993).         Social Cognitive Theory as a Framework to Explain Intentions to Practice Healthy Eating Behaviors. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 19, 1547-1573.     </li> <li>Bandura, A., Caprara, G.V., Barbaranelli, C., Pastorelli, C. &amp; Regalia, C. (2001). Sociocognitive self-regulatory mechanisms governing transgressive behavior. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 80, 125-135.</li> </ol>		
Feb 11th	AS Theories #3: Theory of Planned Behaviour	<ol> <li>Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. <i>Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes</i>, 50, 179–211.</li> <li>Ajzen, I. (2011). The theory of planned behavior: Reactions and reflections. <i>Psychology and Health</i>, 26, 1113-1127.</li> <li>Ajzen, I. (1992). Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior to Leisure Choice. <i>Journal of Leisure Research</i>, 24, 207-224.</li> <li>Ajzen, I. (2011). Behavioral Interventions: Design and Evaluation Guided by the Theory of Planned Behavior. In M. M. Mark, S. I. Donaldson &amp; B. Campbell, <i>Social Psychology and Evaluation</i> (pp. 74-101): Guilford Press.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		

Date	Topics	s Readings		
		<ul> <li>Ajzen, I. (2006). Constructing a Theory of Planned Behavior Questionnaire. Retrieved November 22<sup>nd</sup> 2021 from <a href="https://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf">https://people.umass.edu/aizen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf</a> [Save this one, you never know when you might need it]</li> <li>Smith, J. R., &amp; Louis, W. R. (2009). Group norms and the attitude–behaviour relationship. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 3(1), 19–35.</li> </ul>		
Feb 18 <sup>th</sup>	AS Theories #4: Social Identity Theory	<ol> <li>Hornsey, M. J. (2008). Social Identity Theory and Self-Categorization Theory: A historical review. Social and Personality Compass, 2, 204-222.</li> <li>Haslam, S. A. (2014). Making good theory practical: Five lessons for an Applied Social Identity Approach to challenges of organizational, health, and clinical psychology. British Journal of Social Psychology, 53, 1-20.</li> <li>Haslam, C., Cruwys, T., Haslam, S. A., Dingle, G., &amp; Chang, M. XL. (2016). Groups 4 Health: Evidence that a social-identity intervention that builds and strengthens social group membership improves mental health. Journal of Affective Disorders, 194, 188–195.</li> <li>de la Sablonnière, R., Auger, E., Taylor, D. M., Crush, J., &amp; McDonald, D. (2012). Social change in South Africa: A historical approach to relative deprivation. British Journal of Social Psychology, 52, 703–725. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12003</li> <li>Suggested supplemental readings:         <ul> <li>Abrams, D., &amp; Hogg, M. A. (2004). Metatheory: Lessons from social identity research. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8, 98–106.</li> <li>Tajfel, H., &amp; Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin&amp; S. Worchel (Eds.), The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations (pp. 33–47). Monterey, CA:Brooks/Cole</li> <li>Turner, J.C, Hogg, M. A., Oakes, P.J., Reicher, S.D. &amp; Wetherell, M. S. (1987). Rediscovering the social group: A self-categorization theory. Oxford, England: Blackwell.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		
Mar 4 <sup>th</sup>	AS Theories #5: Social Norms	<ol> <li>Miller , D. T. &amp; Prentice, D. A. (2016). Changing Norms to Change Behavior. Annual Review of Psychology, 67, 339-361.</li> <li>Tankard, M. E. &amp; Paluck, E. L. (2016). Norm Perception as a Vehicle for Social Change. Social Issues and Policy Review, 10, 181-211.</li> <li>Schultz, P. W., Nolan, J. M., Cialdini, R. B., Noah J. Goldstein, N. J., &amp; Griskevicius, V. (2007). The Constructive, Destructive, and Reconstructive Power of Social Norms. Psychological Science, 18, 429-434.</li> </ol>		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		4. Neighbors, C., Jensen, M., Tidwell, J., Walter, T., Fossos, N., & Lewis, M. A. (2011). Social-norms interventions for light and nondrinking students. <i>Group Processes and Intergroup Relations</i> , 14, 651-669.		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Kashima, Y., Wilson, S., Lusher, D., Pearson, L. J., &amp; Pearson, C. (2013). The acquisition of perceived descriptive norms as social category learning in social networks. Social Networks, 1–9.</li> <li>Paluck, E. L. (2009). Reducing intergroup prejudice and conflict using the media: A field experiment in Rwanda. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 96, 574–587. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0011989</li> <li>Reynolds, K. J., Subasic, E., &amp; Tindall, K. (2015). The problem of behaviour change: From social norms to an ingroup focus. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 9, 45–56. doi: 10.1111/spc3.12155.</li> </ul>		
Mar 11 <sup>th</sup>	AS Theories #6: Cognitive Dissonance Thought paper #2	<ol> <li>Festinger, L., &amp; Carlsmith, J. M. (1959). Cognitive consequences of forced compliance. The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 58, 203–210.</li> <li>Stone J, Aronson E, Crain AL, et al. (1994). Inducing hypocrisy as a means of encouraging young adults to use condoms. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 20, 116–28.</li> <li>Pearce, L. &amp; Cooper, J. (2021) Fostering COVID-19 Safe Behaviors Using Cognitive Dissonance, Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 43, 267-282, DOI: 10.1080/01973533.2021.1953497.</li> <li>Van der Linden, S., Leiserowitz, A., Rosenthal, S. &amp; Maibach, E. (2017). Inoculating the public against misinformation about climate change. Global Challenges, DOI: 10.1002/gch2.201600008</li> <li>Suggested supplemental readings:         <ul> <li>Banas, J. A., &amp; Rains, S. A. (2010). A meta-analysis of research on inoculation theory. Communication Monographs, 77, 281–311.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		
		<ul> <li>Papageorgis, D., &amp; McGuire, W. J. (1961). The generality of immunity to persuasion produced by pre- exposure to weakened counterarguments. <i>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</i>, 62, 475–481.</li> </ul>		
Mar 18 <sup>th</sup>	Intervention techniques	<ol> <li>Changing behavior: Abraham, C.A. &amp; Michie, S. (2008). A taxonomy of behavior change techniques used in interventions. Health Psychology, 27, 379–387.</li> <li>Persuasion: Abraham, C., Southby, L., Quandte, S., Krahé, B., &amp; van der Sluijs, W. (2007). What's in a leaflet? Identifying</li> </ol>		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		research-based persuasion messages in European alcoholeducation leaflets. <i>Psychology and Health, 22,</i> 1-30.  3. <b>Nudge</b> : Dewies, M., Schop-Etman, A., Rohde, K. I.M., & Denktas, S. (2021) Nudging is Ineffective When Attitudes Are Unsupportive: An Example from a Natural Field Experiment. <i>Basic and Applied Social Psychology, 43,</i> 213-225.  4. <b>Fear</b> : Witte, K. & Allen, M. (2000). A meta-analysis of fear appeals: Implications for effective public health campaigns. <i>Health Education &amp; Behavior, 27,</i> 591-615.		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Transtheoretical model of change: Prochaska, J.O., DiClemente, C.C. &amp; Norcross, J.C. (1992). In search of how people change. American Psychologist, 47, 1102–1114.</li> <li>Motivated Interviewing: Vansteenkiste, M. &amp; Sheldon, K. M. (2006). There's nothing more practical than a good theory: Integrating motivational interviewing and self-determination theory. British Journal of Social Psychology, 45, 63-82.</li> <li>The origins of fear messages ("This is your brain on drugs" PSA; see videos on courselink) Witte, K. (1992). Putting the fear back into fear appeals: The extended parallel process model. Communication Monographs, 59, 329-349.</li> <li>Example application to marketing: Ennis R., &amp; Zanna, M.P. (1993) Attitudes, advertising, and automobiles: a functional approach. Advances in Consumer Research, 20, 662–6.</li> <li>Example failed intervention analysis: Russell, C. A., Clapp, J. D., &amp; DeJong, W. (2005). Done 4: Analysis of a Failed Social Norms Marketing Campaign. Health Communication, 17, 57-65.</li> <li>Michie, S. &amp; Abraham, C. (2004). Intervention to change health behaviours: Evidenced-based or inspired-based? Psychology and Health, 19, 29-49.</li> <li>Barata, P.C. &amp; Senn, C.Y. (2019). Interventions to Reduce Violence Against Women: The Contribution of Applied Social Psychology. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 61-84). SAGE Publishing.</li> </ul>		
Mar 25 <sup>th</sup>	Implementation of intervention techniques	<ol> <li>Lee, N.R. &amp; Kotler, P. (2011). Social Marketing: Influencing Behaviors for good. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt. (Chapter 2 pp. 32-54).</li> <li>Lee, N.R. &amp; Kotler, P. (2011). Social Marketing: Influencing Behaviors for good. Los Angeles: Sage Publications Lt. (Chapter 15, pp. 388-410).</li> <li>Wandersman, A., Duffy, J., Flaspohler, P., Noonan, R., Lubell, K.,</li> </ol>		

Date	Topics	Readings		
		Bridging the gap between prevention research and practice: The interactive systems framework for dissemination and implementation. American Journal of Community Psychology, 41, 171–181.  4. Durlak, J. A. & DuPre, E. P. (2008). Implementation Matters: A Review of Research on the Influence of Implementation on Program Outcomes and the Factors Affecting Implementation. American Journal of Community Psychology, 41, 327-350.		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Wandersman, A. (2009) Four keys to success (theory, implementation, evaluation, and resource/system support): High hopes and challenges in participation. American Journal of Community Psychology, 43, 3–21.</li> </ul>		
Apr 1 <sup>st</sup>	AS &	Informing Policy		
	Government Policies	<ol> <li>Dovidio, J. S., &amp; Esses, V. M. (2007). Psychological research and public policy: Bridging the gap. Social Issues and Policy Review, 1, 5-14.</li> </ol>		
		2. Esses, V. M. & Dovidio, J. F. (2011). Social psychology, social issues, and social policy: What have we learned? <i>Social Issues and Policy Review</i> , 5, 1-7.		
		<ol> <li>IJzerman et al. (2020). Use caution when applying behavioural science to policy. Nature Human Behavior, 4, 1092-1094.</li> <li>Maton, K. I. (2017). Policy Failures and Defeats, Barriers and Challenges, and Lessons Learned (Ch. 8; pp. 262-302). In K. I. Maton, Influencing Social Policy: Applied Psychology Serving the Public Interest. Oxford University Press.</li> </ol>		
		Analysing Policy		
		<ol> <li>Cohen, D. (1996). Law, Social Policy, and Violence: The Impact of Regional Cultures. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i>, 70, 961-978.</li> </ol>		
		Suggested supplemental readings:		
		<ul> <li>Breheny, M. &amp; Stephens, C. (2019). Social policy and social identities for older people. In K. O'Doherty &amp; D. Hodgetts (Eds.). The SAGE Handbook of Applied Social Psychology (pp. 347-365). SAGE Publishing.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Galinsky et al. (2015). Maximizing the gains and minimizing the pains of diversity: A policy perspective. Perspectives on Psychological Sciences, 10, 742-748.</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Maton, K. I. (2017). Influencing Social Policy: Applied Psychology Serving the Public Interest. Oxford University Press.</li> </ul>		

Date	Topics	Readings	
Apr	From policy to	The International Tobacco Control project	
8th	addressing problems	<ol> <li>Fong, G. T., Cummings, K. M., Borland, R., Hastings, G., Hyland, A., Giovino, G. A., Hammond, D. &amp; Thompson, M. E. (2006). The conceptual framework of the international tobacco control (ITC) policy evaluation project. <i>Tobacco Control, 15</i>, iii3–iii11.</li> <li>Borland R, Wilson N, Fong GT, Hammond D, Cummings KM, Yong HH, Hosking W, Hastings G, Thrasher J, &amp; McNeill A (2009). Impact of graphic and text warnings on cigarette packs: Findings from four countries over five years. <i>Tobacco Control, 18</i>, 358 – 364.</li> </ol>	
		Multiculturalism	
		3. Guimond, S., Crisp, R. J., De Oliveira, P., Kamiejski, R., Kteily, N., Kuepper, B., Lalonde, R. N., Levin, S., Pratto, F., Tougas, F., Sidanius, J. & Zick, A. (2013). Diversity policy, social dominance, and intergroup relations: Predicting prejudice in changing social and political contexts. <i>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</i> , 104, 941-958.	

# **Course Assignments and Tests:**

Assignment or Test	Due Date	Contribution to Final Mark (%)	Learning Outcomes Assessed
Participation in seminar discussions	Varied	20%	1,2,3,4,6
Presentation and discussion facilitation #1	Varied	10%	5,6
Presentation and discussion facilitation #2	Varied	10%	5,6
Thought Paper #1	Feb 4 <sup>th</sup>	10%	1,2,3,6
Thought Paper #2	Mar 11th	10%	1,2,4,6
Research proposal	April 14th (by 5pm)	40%	1,2,3,4,6

## Participation in seminar discussion

Given that this course is seminar, most of the learning will take place during class discussions. The seminar will be a collaborative effort between the students aimed at producing thoughtful analysis and discussion. The class will meet once a week to discuss the assigned topics. The readings are organized around a particular topic area and are intended to provide a common knowledge base from which relevant theoretical, methodological, and practical issues can be addressed. Class members are expected to read the assigned material and be prepared to discuss a) the strengths and weakness of the research, b) the adequacy of the existing research, c) ideas for future research, and d) confusing points

in the readings. Some reminders of important participation skills will be provided during the first meeting.

#### Presentation and discussion facilitation #1 and #2

Each of you will be responsible for presenting on two of the topics covered. Topic selection will occur during the first class. Your task during your two seminars will be threefold. First, you will prepare discussion questions for the class and distribute these to the class by Thursday at 8am. You can do this through CourseLink. Second, you will provide a brief (10 minute) summary of the readings, which you will present to the group at the start of the meeting. Third, you will facilitate the class discussion. Please ensure you should have a plan for how you will do that. Some reminders of important facilitation skills will be provided during the first meeting. Depending on the number of students you may be asked to complete this activity in teams.

#### **Thought papers**

The thought papers should draw on the course material, at least in part. The papers are to be a maximum of 500 words, excluding reference lists and cover pages.

The topic of the first thought paper is: "What makes a social psychology theory practical?"

The topic of the second thought paper is to present an idea for a pilot intervention to address a social and practical problem by drawing on social psychological theory. Think about this as an "elevator pitch". The topic cannot be the same as the one for the final paper. The aim here is to provide you with multiple opportunities to practice the ability to apply social psychology theory to address social and practical problems. It should contain a section briefly and concisely explaining the social problem, a section with a rationale to understand the nature of a cause of the problem using social psychology and finally a research design to pilot test the design.

#### Research proposal

Design an intervention that is intended to have an impact on a particular social problem. The intervention must clearly incorporate social psychological theory and research. Be sure to provide, the context for the intervention (i.e., why is it needed; what has already been tried, how is it building on previous work?); the stakeholders and participants (i.e., who will care about this intervention and for whom is it intended); the components of the intervention (i.e., what is involved and why is it included). You should feel free to connect with a real stakeholder group in the community, but this is not necessary. Ask the instructor if this is an avenue you wish to pursue.

The proposal document should contain five key parts:

- 1. A section that concisely introduces the social problem and offers a rationale for its importance
- 2. A section that presents a rationale using a social psychological perceptive to explain the nature of one or more causes of the social problem.
- 3. A section that presents a rationale for a creative parsimonious intervention technic aimed at addressing the social problem.

- 4. A (methods) section, in which a study design to pilot test the proposed intervention is presented.
- 5. A section that concisely discusses implications of scalability of the intervention, including implementation challenges.

The paper should be no more than 15 pages double spaced (excluding the reference list, abstract page, and cover page). The abstract should be no more than 150 words. Materials of the intervention (e.g., sample poster; sketches; figures; scripts) can be presented in a separate appendix if needed. Most likely you will start with a document substantially longer and will need to edit it down. Use critical thinking to carefully select the information that is necessary to understand the proposed intervention research.

# **Course Resources**

## **Required Texts:**

All of the articles in the schedule of readings can be found at the library. Copies will be made available on courselink for your convenience whenever possible.

#### Other Resources:

Please visit the <u>CourseLink</u> site regularly to obtain important information and materials for this course (e.g., readings, grades, etc.).

## **Course Policies**

#### **Grading Policies**

All evaluations will be graded holistically (i.e., there are no detailed rubrics in which total marks are broken down). A letter grade will be assigned as per the grade schedule specified in the university's graduate calendar.

A+	Outstanding. The student demonstrated a mastery of the course material at a
	level of performance exceeding that of most scholarship students and
	warranting consideration for a graduation award.
A- to A	Very Good to Excellent. The student demonstrated a very good understanding
	of the material at a level of performance warranting scholarship consideration.
В	Acceptable to Good. The student demonstrated an adequate to good
	understanding of the course material at a level of performance sufficient to
	complete the program of study.
С	Minimally Acceptable. The student demonstrated an understanding of the
	material sufficient to pass the course but at a level of performance lower than
	expected from continuing graduate students.
F	An inadequate performance.

Please also note that work submitted for grades may be screened electronically for academic misconduct, including breaches of academic integrity and plagiarism. In this course, your instructor will be using Turnitin, to detect possible plagiarism, unauthorized collaboration or copying as part of the ongoing efforts to maintain academic integrity at the University of Guelph. All submitted assignments will be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of such papers. Use of the Turnitin.com service is subject to the Usage Policy posted on the Turnitin.com site.

If a word limit is set for an assignment, the grader will stop reading when they get to the limit set for the assignment (e.g., if you submit a 600 words document when the limit 500 words, only the first 500 words will be graded). No assignments will be accepted after the last day of the term.

A grade of 0 will be assigned for non-completion of any assignment or examination when scheduled unless there is an arrangement set as per the university policy regarding academic consideration. Please see the university policy section below for further details with regards to academic consideration.

#### **Past/Future Work**

Work done in this class cannot duplicate work you have already done for another class (including thesis and independent study courses). You can work on a component of your thesis/dissertation/other upcoming project if it is a good fit for the class assignment, but your submission for this class cannot be material that another faculty member has already given you feedback on. If the topic of your final paper is similar to other work you have done or are planning to do, please speak to the course instructor for guidance on how to proceed.

#### Policy regarding materials provided by instructor and his designates

The material shared by the course instructor or by his designate (e.g., TA) as part of this course, including copies of the lecture slides, are solely for the personal use of the authorized registered student for the duration of the course and may NOT be reproduced, or transmitted to others, whether it is in their original format or a modified version, without the express written consent of the course instructor.

#### Policy on emails

Only questions that can be answered simply, for example by yes or no or with a short sentence, will be answered by email. For longer answers you will most likely receive an email asking you to come and see me.

Emails will usually be answered within 24 to 48 hours during weekdays. It is possible that some emails that are not from a university address (e.g., @hotmail.com) may be treated as spam. So do not hesitate to come and see one of us if your email was not answered. The university expects you to check your U of Guelph email account regularly and us to use it to communicate with you.

#### Course Policy regarding use of electronic devices and recording of lectures

Electronic recording of classes is expressly forbidden without consent of the instructor. When recordings are permitted, they are solely for the use of the authorized student and may not be reproduced, or transmitted to others, without the express written consent of the instructor.

#### Supplemental evaluations/examination

Please note that there is no supplemental evaluation or examination for this course.

## **University Policies**

#### Disclaimer:

Please note that the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic may necessitate a revision of the format of course offerings, changes in classroom protocols, and academic schedules. Any such changes will be announced via CourseLink and/or class email. This includes on-campus scheduling during the semester, mid-terms and final examination schedules. All University-wide decisions will be posted on the COVID-19 website (https://news.uoguelph.ca/2019-novel-coronavirus-information/) and circulated by email.

#### **Academic Consideration**

When you find yourself unable to meet an in-course requirement because of illness or compassionate reasons, please advise the course instructor in writing, with your name, id#, and e-mail contact. See the academic calendar for information on regulations and procedures for

Academic Consideration:

**Grounds for Academic Consideration** 

### **Academic Misconduct**

The University of Guelph is committed to upholding the highest standards of academic integrity and it is the responsibility of all members of the University community, faculty, staff, and students to be aware of what constitutes academic misconduct and to do as much as possible to prevent academic offences from occurring.

University of Guelph students have the responsibility of abiding by the University's policy on academic misconduct regardless of their location of study; faculty, staff and students have the responsibility of supporting an environment that discourages misconduct. Students need to remain aware that instructors have access to and the right to use electronic and other means of detection. Please note: Whether or not a student intended to commit academic misconduct is not relevant for a finding of guilt. Hurried or careless submission of assignments does not excuse students from responsibility for verifying the academic integrity of their work before submitting it. Students who are in any doubt as to whether an action on their part could be construed as an academic offence should consult with a faculty member or faculty advisor.

The Academic Misconduct Policy is detailed in the appropriate section of the **Graduate Calendar**.

## Accessibility

The University of Guelph is committed to creating a barrier-free environment. Providing services for students is a shared responsibility among students, faculty and administrators. This relationship is based on respect of individual rights, the dignity of the individual and the University community's shared commitment to an open and supportive learning environment. Students requiring service or accommodation, whether due to an identified, ongoing disability or a short-term disability should contact the Student Accessibility Services as soon as possible.

For more information, contact SAS at 519-824-4120 ext. 56208 or email csd@uoguelph.ca or see the website: Student Accessibility Services Website

#### **Student Feedback Questionnaire**

These questionnaires (formerly course evaluations) will be available to students during the last 2 weeks of the semester: March.  $28^{th}$  – April  $08^{th}$ . Students will receive an email directly from the Student Feedback Administration system which will include a direct link to the questionnaire for this course. During this time, when a student goes to login to Courselink, a reminder will pop-up when a task is available to complete.

**Student Feedback Questionnaire** 

## **Drop date**

The last date to drop one-semester courses, without academic penalty, is April 08<sup>th</sup>. For regulations and procedures for Dropping Courses, see the Academic Calendar:

<u>Current Graduate Calendar.</u>